

NRT IMPACTS: Interdisciplinary training Model in Plant And Computational Sciences: Logic Model, 5/2/19

Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcomes	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Trainers/Faculty – engaged, productive, with research expertise → including a science educator ▪ CMSE ▪ Large, successful, productive plant sciences including collaborations across departments and faculty ▪ Central administration support – includes student scholarships, funding for symposium ▪ Multiple existing outreach activities, opportunities ▪ Existing professional development activities offered by graduate school ▪ Internship opportunities at federal level ▪ Existing networks/relationships with industry ▪ Strong student pool across disciplines ▪ Historically strong relationships among Exec Committee and trainers ▪ Efforts focused on the HDR “big ideas” from NSF ▪ CSBR evaluation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recruiting ▪ Bootcamp (?) ▪ Development and implementation of foundational courses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Foundation in Computational and Plant Science ○ Frontiers in Computational and Plant Science ○ (Plant Science only, implementation only) Introduction to Computational Modeling ▪ Forums – 1 credit, 2 required ▪ IMPACTS mentor training <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Peer mentoring, undergrads, REU mentoring (What else?) ○ Develop individual development plan (IDP)- trainees ▪ Professional development workshops ▪ Interdisciplinary research experience with co-mentors ▪ Develop outreach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Raspberry Pi Jam ○ Link trainees to existing outreach ○ 4-H Garden ○ Girls Math and Science ○ Coding Camp ○ Darwin Days ○ MSU Science Day ▪ Trainee subcommittee participation (1 year) or symposium organization committee participation ▪ Social events ▪ Internship – link and expand ▪ Social media and blog presence ▪ Process and summative evaluation activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Successful trainee recruitment ▪ Attendance and reflections (?) ▪ Course performance and instructor reflections ▪ Forum attendance and reflections ▪ IDPs ▪ Occurrence of PD workshops, reflections, PD products ▪ Presentations, manuscripts, posters, dissertations, proposals ▪ Outreach attendance and reflections <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Video ▪ Subcommittee attendance and reflections ▪ Social event occurrence and attendance ▪ Internship report, portfolios ▪ Social media and blog posts ▪ Evaluation reports that align with activities 	<p><u>Short-term</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Increased recruitment and retention of good, engaged trainers ▪ Expanded trainer participation - including areas of need: ecology, computational engineering ▪ IMPACTS Trainees can communicate and teach computational and plant science topics to diverse audiences <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Able to communicate across disciplinary fields ▪ Strong project management, mentorship and leadership skills held by IMPACTS Trainees ▪ IMPACTS Trainees possess the knowledge and ability to do interdisciplinary research and collaborate <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ability to generate important interdisciplinary research questions ○ Ability to conduct interdisciplinary research to answer the questions they have generated ○ Ability to collaborate effectively across multiple disciplines ○ Increased recruitment of URM ○ Transferable skill set 	<p><u>Long-term</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ IMPACTS Trainees possess the ability to advance solutions to grand challenges by incorporating plant biology and computational methods ▪ Increased diversity in the disciplines ▪ IMPACTS Trainees serve as leaders in collaborative science ▪ IMPACTS Trainees are employable across multiple STEM contexts
Evaluation	External/Contextual Factors		Assumptions	
<p>Internal/External evaluation activities: formative, implementation, and progress evaluations in recursive design to inform and to guide project throughout planning and implementation phases</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ University and departmental structure and expressed interest ▪ History of transdisciplinary work ▪ Proportion of underrepresented student populations in the state and region 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Secure funding throughout the project ▪ Buy-in from transdisciplinary faculty ▪ Institutional adoption of curricular changes 	